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ABSTRACT

Immediately after World War II, many European nations felt it was important to unite the European nations to form a union for the economic and social benefits. However, the dream of a “Common European Union” is still quite far from reality. The EU is the England’s largest business partner. Almost fifty percent of Britain’s trade is with the EU. Now, Britain’s decision to leave the EU is a death blow to the EU. Today, the Brexit is viewed as the next big financial event since 2008 subprime crisis causing dent on the global economy. History has exhibited that stock market plays a major role in any economy. Stock markets have been impacted by various macro and micro economic factors. Therefore, the main objective of this empirical paper is to investigate the pricing behaviour of the chosen benchmark indices (Sensex and Nifty) with respect to a major political event (Brexit referendum) and its implications for regulators, researchers and market participants. For the purpose of the study the data has been collected from 24-06-2015 to 19-07-2016 and the collected data has been tested for stationarity by applying ADF test. The event study methodology has been employed to determine the impact of Brexit referendum on India stock market. In order to capture the historical volatility the standard deviation of the abnormal returns of the selected indices has been computed. GARCH (1,1) model have been employed to ascertain the existence of ARCH/GARCH effect in the indices. We found a significant impact of Brexit referendum on both the chosen indices on the event day. Nobody knows the actual impact of the Brexit on the world economy in the long run. The bulk of studies on Brexit referendum have concluded that the impact on the Britain’s economy would be significant and adverse. However, the shock on the European Union would be smaller, although no extensive macroeconomic assessment has been published.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of efficient market was proposed by Eugene Fama (1970), describes that the prices of securities reflect all the available macro and micro economic information. Therefore, the historical prices lose the predictive power to forecast the future prices. Consequently, prices of assets cannot be predicted by the participants as the assets prices move randomly and restrict them from earning the abnormal returns. The EMH concept has been studied since its inception by both the practitioners and the academicians. The concept of event study methodology was first pioneered by James Dolley (1933) who used this method to investigate the returns pattern on stock split announcement. Later, Archie Bakay (1948) and John Ashley (1962) used the event study methodology. Today, the event study methodology is one of the most applied analytical tool in financial literature and has emerged as a very important statistical technique for analysing the impact of corporate actions such as stock split, earnings announcement, bonus shares etc. and major economic events such as budget proposal, general elections, oil shocks, terrorist attack, etc. on the security prices.

The concept of EMH has been investigated in numerous studies over the years for various extreme economic and sensational events such as general elections (Kithinji and Ngugi (2013); Person, (2012); Jones (2002); Zuwena Zainabu (2014); Maning (1989); Peel and Pope (1993); Santa-Clara and Valkanov (2003); Bialkowski, et al., (2008); Anderson et al., (2008); Hensel and Ziemba (1995); Vuchelen (2003)), terrorist attacks and its impact on stock market (Aslam and Kong (2011); Suleman (2012); Akysha and Shakil (2005)) including September 9/11 attacks (Carter and Simkins (2004)), unfavourable political news (Mei and Guo, (2002) Lin and Wang, (2005); Swary (1986)), favorable political news (Kongprajya, (2010)), special events like Tiananmen Accident on stock market (Ma, Sun and Tang (2003)), resignation of a president (Ahmad (2009)), the crash of the space shuttle Challenger (Maloney and Mulherein (2003)), impact of hurricane on stock market (Angbazo (1996)). Sabnavis (2005) tried to investigate the political disturbance on Sensex. The impact of natural disasters/catastrophes on stock returns has also been investigated by researchers for example, Shelor et al. (1990), Californian earthquake disaster on US stock market (Andrew, Valadkhani and Worthington (2004)), Wan (2011) natural disasters in Japan; Javid (2007) on earthquake in Pakistan.
Few empirical studies tried to investigate the impact of Union budget on stock market for example, Gupta and Kundu (2006); Kaur (2004), Drvya et al. (2015); Rao (1997); Thomas and Shah (2002); Kutchu (2012); Babu and Venkateswara (2013); Soni Anil (2009). Apart from this couple of studies have proved that security prices are also effected by interest rate announcement (Kim (2003); Rehman and Raoof, (2010); Chakradhara (2008)); Ho and Saunders (1981); Rigobon and Sack, (2004); Fleming and Remolona, (1999)). Similarly other macro-economic variables including inflation, money supply and its impact on stock prices for example treasury yield Cook and Hahn (1989); Hamilton (2008). There has been a large number of empirical studies tried to investigate the relationship between the oil prices and stock market returns. For example Kilian (2008); Ciner (2001), Hamilton (2003); Kavussanos and Marcoulis (1997); Manning (2008); Hamilton and Herrera (2004); Sadorsky (1999). Mohanty (2004) tried to investigate the impact of various policy issues and its impact on stock market. Kim and Nguyen (2008); Gasbarro and Monroe (2004), Bernanke and Kuttner (2005) tried to investigate the impact of monetary policy announcements on stock market. The bank rate on commercial paper rates (Jayadev and Sunil kumar (2001), impacts of crude prices on exchange rates (e.g., Coudert et al., (2008); Chen and Chen (2007)), exchange rate and stock price (Joseph (2002); Branson (1983); Dimitrova (2005); Doong et al (2005)), FIIs inflow and stock returns (Babu and Prabhdeesh (2007)), inflation and stock markets (Fama (1981); Asprem (1989); Mukhopadhyay and Sarkar (2003). Few more studies tried to investigate the impact of special events on stock market, for example, global financial crisis (Rafaqet Ali and Muhammad Afzal (2012)), Islamic holy month on the stock market (Hussain (1998)), currency devaluation (Aggarwal, 1981), political risk (Bittlingmayer (1998); Beaulieu, et al. (2005)) etc. Majority of these studies documented that most of the macro economic variables and political events influence the movement of stock markets. Moreover, the stock markets are highly sensitive to both national and international events and react spontaneously after their occurrence. Volatility in security prices during such macro-economic and political events is an opportunity for testing the validity of the efficient market hypothesis. Abrupt political events like Breixt can put more pressure on the stock market and the way it functions.

In the current study we have taken an important event after the subprime crisis 2008, which shook the global stock markets. Stock markets across the globe lost about $2 trillion in value on 24th Friday, 2016 when final verdict went against the EU. The DAX (Germany) index, fell 7 percent, the IBEX (Spanish) Index was down by 11 percent. FTSE (London bench mark index) 100 has fallen 8.7 percent and the FTSE 250 index (mid-size companies) dropped by 12 percent (this is the most reliable reflection of the British economy). CAC 40 (France) index fell by 8.6 percent. Nikkei (Japanese) 225 index was down 8 percent. US stock market recorded a drop of more than 3 percent. The Dow Jones industrial average dropped by 655 points (the ninth largest one day crash recorded in the history of the Dow Jones). Nasdaq dropped by 4.12 percent (the largest drop since 2011). On the same day Indian stock market too crashed as England opted for leaving the EU. The Sensex dropped by 604.51 points and Nifty Fifty 181.85 points. Consequently, Indian investors lost Rs. two lakh crore in wealth. Among the prominent Asian indices, Hang Seng index tumbled down by 4.67 percent, Kospi (Korean index) tumbled by 3.1 percent and Australia's benchmark ASX 200 Index sank 3.2 percent. The pound fell to $1.37 against the US dollar and witnessed an eight percent drop. The stock market perceived this one has a negative news for the U.K in the near term at least. All the money withdrawn from the stock market was poured into traditional safe assets like gold, Japanese yen and government bonds. There are considerable levels of uncertainty about what would happen if England leaves the EU. Moreover, the market participants and regulators expect stock market to react to such political events. Yet, they may lack the competency to measure properly the vigour and the direction of the reaction. Therefore, the referendum would have a very robust economic implication for market participants especially from the context of the EU. A stock market’s briskness to incorporate state-of-the-art information into prices is often referred to informational efficiency. Therefore, an impartial stock market is one in which security prices unbiased estimation of fundamental values of financial assets. Now a days, the legitimacy of market efficiency is challenged by the behavioural finance literature. Therefore, the main aim of this research paper is to investigate the pricing behaviour of the chosen
Indian stock Indices (Sensex and Nifty Fifty) with reference to a major political event (Brexit referendum) and its implications for regulators, researchers and market participants.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The random-walk theory presumes that stock price is uncorrelated with historical prices. It assumes that there is no trend visible in stock price movements and they are independent. Therefore, the EMH theory suggests that historical prices have no predictive capacity over the future prices. Thus, subsequent price shift should be random (Alexander (1961); Fama, (1965); Jensen and Benington, (1970) and Fama, (1970) Malkiel (1995); Elton et al. (1993), Chopra et al. (1992); Seppi (1992); DeBondt and Thaler (1985); Charest (1978)). The event study methodology is one of the most used tool in economics, accounting and financial research. The first event study documented in the financial literature was by James Dolley (1933), cited in MacKinley (1997) in his article Event Studies in Economics and Finance. John Dolley tried to explore how share prices react to stock splits announcement and found that there was an impact to the extent of 60 percent. Later many researchers have employed this methodology for example John H. Myers and Archie Bakay (1948), John Ashley (1962); MacKinlay (1997); Kothari and Warner (2006). But, what event study methodology are we following today was outlined by Ball & Brown (1968) and Fama et al. (1969). In simple words, event study methodology examines the behaviour of corporates’ stock and bond prices (returns) around specific events. Later, the concept of the EMH has been tested in numerous studies over the years. According to Robin and Jessica (2014) an event is an informational announcement of any kind which occurrence is assumed to be unexpected by the market. In financial literature majority of the empirical studies tried to investigate the impact of an event on the stock returns (abnormal returns), however, several other studies tried to explore trading volume and even volatility of the returns when certain event occurs. According to EMH the market is said to be efficient, if the stock prices react quickly and efficiently to the new information or event without any bias. Therefore, the abnormal returns signal the market reaction to the unforeseen event.

Stock market attitude during general elections was examined by researchers, for example Bialkowski et al. (2008); Zach (2003); Nicholas Chen (2004); Pantzalis et al. (2000); Beaulieu et al. (2005); Liu (2007); Khalid, Ahmed et al. (2010); Gartner (1994); Gartner et al. (1995); Acemoglu, et al. (2003); Dopke and Pierdzioch (2006); Stovall (1992); Anoop Singh (2006); Kim and Mei (1999); Beyer et al. (2008); Nordhaus, (1975); Li and Born (2006); MacRae, (1977); Ploeg (1984); Bernanke and Kuttner (2005); Cowart (1984); Hibbs, (1977); Allvine, O’Neill (1980); Drazen (2001) and Sturm (2013); Johnson et al. (1999) and Zhao et al. (2004). However, Nordhaus (1975) created the first political business cycle. In this pioneering study he deliberated the various issues like the political decisions pertaining to the current or future welfare. In an empirical study by Bittelningmayer (1992) documented that political confusion or uncertainty affects the stock market. Similar view was held by Schwert (1989). In a study by Tzachi Zach (2003) documented that returns on the TASE following political actions are more intense than returns on days that do not follow political actions. In an empirical study Zach (2003) documented that the stock market is highly volatile on event day as compared to ordinary trading days in Tel Aviv Stock market. In an investigation by Goodell and Vähämäa (2013) documented that the US election process generates uncertainty in stock market, similar view was documented by Ortega and Tornero (2009). In an empirical study by Zuwena Zainabu (2014) with an intention to understand the effect of the general elections on the return of the stock market in Kenya concluded that investors should carefully plan and carry out investments during and after the periods of the general elections as the returns could be affected either positively or negatively during that period. In an empirical study by Diamonte et al. (1996) argued that political risk has a significant impact on developing economies than in developed economies. Similarly Erb et al. (1996) documented that country-risk measures have high degree of correlation with future equity returns. Peel and Pope (1993) explored the stock market’s reaction during general elections and they find inefficiency in stock prices around the time of elections. Similar observation was documented by Campello (2007). A study by Booth and Booth (2003) documented that when the ruling party is republican the fixed securities had fetched significantly higher returns, however, under democrats the
small cap stocks experiences the excess returns. Identical results were documented by Santa-Clar and Valkanov (2003); Huang (1985); Johnson et al. (1999); Pantzalis et al. (2000). However, couple of studies contradicted this view for example Jones and Banning (2000); Abidin et al. (2010) and Dopke and Pierdzoich (2006). In a study by Niederhofer et al. (1970) concluded that the stock market performances during Republican and Democratic administrations have no systematic difference. Niederhofer et al. (1971) empirically studied the reaction of the stock market on major world events and found an impact on the S&P 500 index. Cutler et al. (1989) conducted identical studies by taking major world events and found a dissimilar returns and risk profile between the major events and non-events days. In a study by Evelita E. Celis and Leow Jia Shen (2015), found that the investors take asymmetric treatments to the election information and the government policy. In the twentieth century, intensive empirical studies of the events like terrorists attack and its impact on stock market became quite popular. For examples empirical studies done by Aslam and Kang (2013); Ramiah and Graham (2013); Marc Chesney et al (2011); Anh Phuong Nguyen and Carl E. Enomoto (2009); and Khakan Najaf et al. (2015) found the evidence. The issue of influence of Brexit referendum and its impact on the stock markets have not available in the literature, therefore the current study has been undertaken to investigate the impact of Brexit referendum on Indian stock markets. The structure of the current empirical study is as follows. Section two provides a brief discussion of various empirical papers from this field. Section three outlines the data sources and the methodology employed for the purpose of the current study. In section four the empirical results are presented and in the last section discussion and conclusion have been made and the results are compared with the possible evidence.

III. RESEARCH DESIGN

DATA FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

As the current empirical study was analytical in nature, the data for the purpose of the study was dependent on secondary sources. For the purpose of the study Sensex and Nifty Fifty indices were chosen. For study purpose, the adjusted closing price for both the index namely Sensex and Nifty fifty have been collected from capitalline data base. Daily returns are calculated as logarithmic differences of daily closing prices.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The current has been undertaken with the following objectives.

1. To examine the reaction of Indian benchmark indices (Sensex and Nifty) to Brexit referendum.
2. To examine whether there is any abnormal returns around the event date.
3. To investigate the Indian stock markets reaction reflect the market efficiency in semi strong form or not.

HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

H0: There is no significance difference between the mean returns before the event (Brexit) and the after the (Brexit) event. \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 \)

H1: There is a significance difference between the mean returns before the event (Brexit) and the after the (Brexit) event. \( \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \)

PLAN OF ANALYSIS

To investigate the impact of Brexit referendum on Indian stock market (Sensex and Nifty) the event study methodology has been employed. For the purpose of the study the data has been collected from 24-06-2015 to 19-07-2016 from capitalline data base. The first event study documented in the financial literature was by James Dolley (1933). Thereafter, Masulis (1980), DeAngelo and Rice
have utilised this methodology to investigate the impact of macro and micro economic variables on the stock markets. For the purpose of the study we have used the same methodology to investigate the impact of Brexit referendum on various selected stock indices. The dates on which the Brexit referendum came out were taken as the event date (t = 0). The thirty one days enclosing the referendum (i.e., t = -15,......0,...... +15) is labelled as the event window. The days before the Brexit referendum event period (i.e., -245…-15) are labelled as the estimation period. The abnormal returns (AR) of the selected indices for the event window were computed. In order to get the flawless results log returns were computed on Sensex and Nifty Fifty indices for the entire study period.

In the first step the expected return for the window period (ER) was estimated by using the Sharpe’s (1964) model \[R_t = \alpha + (\beta \times R_m + \epsilon_t)\]. In the second phase, the abnormal return (AR) was computed by deducting the Actual returns (AR) by expected returns (ER). In third step, the Cumulative Abnormal Returns (CAR) for the 30 days has been calculated. The CAR has been calculated by adding the daily AR for the entire event window of 30 days. It is generally applied to analyse the adjustment of prices to state-of-the-art information in our study it is the Brexit referendum. In the last phase student t -test to test has been run to investigate the significance difference in the mean returns before and after the Brexit referendum.

SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL

GARCH (1, 1)

MEAN EQUATION

Sensex Returns = C1 + C2*CR+ e

Nifty Fifty Returns = C1 + C2*CR+ e

VARIANCE EQUATION – THIS IS THE GARCH (1,1) MODEL

\[H_t = C3 + C4 H_{t-1} + C5 e_{t-1}^2 + C6*CR\]

Here, \(H_t\) = variance of the residual (error term) derived from equation 1.1 and 1.2 (current day’s variance or volatility of Index return)

III. DATA ANALYSIS

In case of Sensex it was observed that the highest Abnormal Return (AR) recorded in the pre-event period ranging from the lowest value of -0.012869276 with a t value of -1.57172299 (statistically not significant)on day -5 to the highest value of 0.01440066 with a t value of 1.75875066 (statistically not significant)on day -6. However, in the post-event period the highest Abnormal Return (AR) recorded ranging from the lowest value of -0.012429592 on day 3 with a t value of -1.518024403 (statistically not significant) to the highest value of 0.019001654 on day 12 with a t value of 2.320669509 (statistically significant). On the event day i.e. Brexit results announcement day the abnormal return was 0.025392241 with a t value of 3.101151087 (statistically significant). The Sensex results show that in -15 to +15 days event window period, none of the Abnormal Return (AR) were statistically significant at conventional level of 5% other than day 12 and day 0 the event day. Therefore, we can conclude that Brexit referendum has an impact on Sensex on the event day.

However, in case of Nifty fifty, it was observed that the highest Abnormal Return (AR) recorded in the pre-event period ranging from the lowest value of -0.013942608 on day -5 with a t value of -1.630472342 (statistically not significant) to the highest value of 0.013206845 with a t value of 1.544430988 (statistically not significant) on day -6. However, in the post-event period the highest Abnormal Return (AR) recorded ranging from the lowest value of -0.013230584 on day 3 with a t value of -1.547206965 (statistically not significant) to the highest value of 0.017280312 with a t value of 2.020789107 on day 12 (statistically significant). On the event day (0) i.e. Brexit results
announcement day the abnormal returns were 0.023632173 with a t value of 2.763586544 (statistically significant). The Nifty 50 results show that in -15 to +15 days event window period, none of the Abnormal Return (AR) were statistically significant at conventional level of 5% other than for day 12 and on the event day (0). Therefore, we can conclude that Brexit referendum has an impact on Nifty Index on day 12 and the event day.

**TABLE No. 4.1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T TEST RESULTS: SENSEX</th>
<th>Sensex* 15 days</th>
<th>Sensex** 7 days</th>
<th>Nifty* 15 days</th>
<th>Nifty ** 7 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variable 1</td>
<td>Variable 2</td>
<td>Variable 1</td>
<td>Variable 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-0.000101699</td>
<td>0.000095851</td>
<td>-0.002275309</td>
<td>-0.002551662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>0.0000555373</td>
<td>0.00007845075</td>
<td>0.0000984298</td>
<td>0.00008144649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t Stat</td>
<td>-0.066098797</td>
<td>0.05451636</td>
<td>2.048407142</td>
<td>2.17881283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t Critical two-tail</td>
<td>2.048407142</td>
<td>2.17881283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to ascertain any significant difference between the pre-event window abnormal returns (-15 to -1) and post event window abnormal returns (+15 to 1) for 15 days event window and 7 days event window student t test was run. It is evident from the abovementioned table No. 4.1 that for Sensex as the t value -0.066098797 is less than the t critical two-tail value (2.048407142), we cannot reject the null hypothesis, meaning that there is no significant difference between pre-event window abnormal returns and post event abnormal returns. In case of 7 days event window the t stat is 0.05451636 which is less than the t value 2.17881283, therefore we cannot reject the null hypothesis. In case for Nifty fifty index for 15 days event window as the t value -0.078993372 is less than the t critical two-tail value (2.048407), we cannot reject the null hypothesis, meaning that there is no significant difference between pre-event window abnormal returns and post event window abnormal returns. In case of 7 days event window the t stat was -0.067419551 which was less than the t critical two-tail value 2.178813, therefore we cannot reject the null hypothesis. Therefore we can conclude that, Brexit referendum has an impact on Indian stock market only on the event day and for the fifteen days event window and seven days event window hardly any impact.

**GRAPH 4.1**

**GRAPH SHOWING T STATS**
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This present study employs the historical volatility (standard deviation) and GARCH (1,1) modelling technique to analyse the possible shift in volatility of the chosen indices (Sensex and Nifty fifty). In this case the standard deviation of the abnormal returns is taken as a measure of historical volatility of the both the indices. It is evident from the table No.4.2 that the SD of pre Brexit event of Sensex was 0.00745234 and for post event was 0.008857243. Therefore in case of Sensex it has increased by 0.001404903. The F value for Sensex was 1.412575791 which was lesser than the critical value 2.483725741 therefore, we cannot reject the null hypothesis, meaning that there was no significant change in the historical volatility (standard deviation).

In case of Nifty Fifty pre Brexit referendum the SD was 0.007389438 and post Brexit referendum it was 0.008517576. The historical volatility in Nifty fifty increased by 0.001128138. The F value for Nifty was 1.328645764 which was lesser than the critical value 2.483725741 therefore, we cannot reject the null hypothesis, meaning that there is no significant change in the historical volatility between pre-event window volatility (standard deviation) and post event volatility (standard deviation) in case of Nifty.

That the SD of pre Brexit event in case of Sensex for 7 days event window was 0.00992117 and for post event was 0.010281. Therefore in case of Sensex it has come down by -0.000360. In case of Nifty Fifty pre Brexit referendum the SD was 0.008731123 and post Brexit referendum it was 0.008872168. Once again historical volatility in Nifty fifty was come down by -0.000145. In either cases we cannot reject the null hypothesis meaning that there is no significant change in the historical volatility between pre-event window volatility (standard deviation) and post event window volatility (standard deviation).

### TABLE No. 4.2
**TABLE SHOWING CHANGE IN THE HISTORICAL VOLATILITY RETURNS FOR THE EVENT WINDOW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensex</th>
<th>FTSE</th>
<th>Nifty</th>
<th></th>
<th>Sensex</th>
<th>FTSE</th>
<th>Nifty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD -15</td>
<td>0.00745234</td>
<td>0.013102</td>
<td>0.007389438</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>0.00992117</td>
<td>0.010281</td>
<td>0.009731123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD +15</td>
<td>0.008857243</td>
<td>0.015573</td>
<td>0.008517576</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>0.009024771</td>
<td>0.02125</td>
<td>0.008872168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>0.001404903</td>
<td>0.002471</td>
<td>0.001128138</td>
<td>-0.0008964</td>
<td>0.010969</td>
<td>-0.00085895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.412575791</td>
<td>1.328645764</td>
<td>1.203001993</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.203001993</td>
<td>4.283865714</td>
<td>4.283865714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GRAPH 4.2
**GRAPH SHOWING STANDARD DEVIATION**

ARCH and GARCH models are commonly used in modelling a time series data that display time-varying volatility clustering (because the current period volatility can be affected by the previous
period volatility, as volatility is time varying). ARCH-type models are sometimes considered to be in the family of stochastic volatility models, although this is strictly incorrect since at time $t$ the volatility is completely pre-determined (deterministic) given previous values. The basic version of the least squares model assumes that the expected value of all error terms, when squared, is the same at any given point. This assumption is called homoskedasticity, and it is this assumption that is the focus of ARCH/GARCH models. The review of literature also backing the time varying volatility by applying the ARCH and GARCH techniques (Bomfin, 2003). Therefore in the current study the GARCH model has been used to investigate the time varying volatility of pre-Brexit referendum and post Brexit referendum independently and later results are compared for Pre Brexit referendum and Post Brexit referendum periods.

**TABLE No. 4.3**  
**TABLE SHOWING CHANGES IN PERSISTENCE VOLATILITY AROUND PRE-BREXIT AND POST BREXIT REFERENDUM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensex – PRE BREXIT (PRESENCE OF ARCH/GARCH (1, 1) EFFECT)</th>
<th>Before Brexit Referendum</th>
<th>After Brexit Referendum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(RESID(-1)²)</td>
<td>GARCH(-1)</td>
<td>(RESID(-1)²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensex (Y)</td>
<td>-0.502430</td>
<td>0.6154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensex (Y)</td>
<td>-1.015561</td>
<td>0.3098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensex (Y)</td>
<td>-1.645204</td>
<td>0.0999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifty (Y)</td>
<td>-1.645204</td>
<td>0.0999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifty (Y)</td>
<td>-1.739472</td>
<td>0.0820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifty (Y)</td>
<td>-1.340518</td>
<td>0.1801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices (Sensex and Nifty) were grouped on the basis of existence of ARCH and GARCH effect before and after the Brexit referendum. The above table No. 4.3 shows the changes in the volatility around the Brexit referendum. The volatility analyse the quality of volatility, meaning that current day’s volatility due to state-of-the-art information would affect tomorrow’s volatility or not. The model was run by employing all the three methods namely Normal Gaussian distribution, Student t distribution and GED with fix parameter. In this case the ARCH effect signifies the effect of any state-of-the-art information that has come to the stock market. Therefore, any change in ARCH effect hints the effect of Brexit referendum on the stock market. It is evident from the above table that there was a GARCH effect before the referendum (Normal Gaussian distribution, Student t distribution and GED with fix parameter) and there was neither ARCH nor GARCH effect after the referendum for Sensex. Similarly in case of Nifty fifty there was a GARCH effect before the referendum (Normal Gaussian distribution, Student t distribution and GED with fix parameter) and there was neither ARCH nor GARCH effect after the referendum.

**RESIDUAL DIAGNOSTICS**

To investigate the existence of autocorrelation in the residuals Q – statistic test was conducted. If there is no serial correlation in the residuals, the autocorrelations and partial autocorrelations at all lags should be almost zero, and all Q-statistics should be insignificant with hefty p-values meaning that if the variance equation is perfectly specified, all Q–statistics should not be statistically significant.
The test accepts the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation in the time series data. The above correglogram of squared residuals test results indicate that the residuals are not auto correlated.

### TABLE No. 4.4
CORRELOGRAM OF STANDARDIZED RESIDUALS – Q-STATISTICS ((NORMAL GAUSSIAN DISTRIBUTION, STUDENT T DISTRIBUTION AND GED WITH FIX PARAMETERS) – PRE BREXIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensex</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Nifty</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N Gaussian D</td>
<td>t distribution</td>
<td>GED</td>
<td>N Gaussian D</td>
<td>t distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-Stat</td>
<td>Prob*</td>
<td>Q-Stat</td>
<td>Prob*</td>
<td>Q-Stat</td>
<td>Prob*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7589</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>2.5429</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>2.0439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6023</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>3.8071</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>3.2385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.8237</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>5.0415</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>4.0813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.9938</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>5.6420</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>4.3557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5210</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>7.4719</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>5.4744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.1440</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>7.1668</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>5.9087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.2901</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>7.8491</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>6.5209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.6874</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>7.9344</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>6.6098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.476</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>9.2749</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>8.1823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.533</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>9.3177</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>8.2253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.148</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>11.813</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>11.502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE No. 4.5
CORRELOGRAM OF STANDARDIZED RESIDUALS – Q-STATISTICS ((NORMAL GAUSSIAN DISTRIBUTION, STUDENT T DISTRIBUTION AND GED WITH FIX PARAMETERS) – POST BREXIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensex</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Nifty</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N Gaussian D</td>
<td>t distribution</td>
<td>GED</td>
<td>N Gaussian D</td>
<td>t distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-Stat</td>
<td>Prob*</td>
<td>Q-Stat</td>
<td>Prob*</td>
<td>Q-Stat</td>
<td>Prob*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5330</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.6255</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.7421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5816</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.6428</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.7467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5819</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.6617</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.7651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.6057</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>5.6391</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>6.3401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.7032</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>5.7194</td>
<td>1.10334</td>
<td>6.4182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.7802</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>5.7660</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>6.5342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.1002</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>6.9900</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>7.6401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.9516</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>8.1890</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>9.2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.3201</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>8.7954</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>9.5418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.824</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>10.7690</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>11.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.744</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>13.1777</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>14.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.084</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>16.6566</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>17.292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE No. 4.6
NORMALITY TEST – JARQUE-BERA STATISTICS

In order to investigate the normality of the data distribution Jarque-Bera test for normality has been conducted. It is evident from the above table that the residuals are normally distributed.

TABLE No. 4.8
ARCH EFFECT TEST - (NORMAL GAUSSIAN DISTRIBUTION, STUDENT T DISTRIBUTION AND GED WITH FIX PARAMETERS)
To investigate the presence of heteroscedasticity in the distribution of the residuals, an ARCH test was conducted for all the parameters (Normal Gaussian distribution, Student t distribution and GED with fix parameters). The ARCH test results indicate that there are no ARCH effects in the collected distribution. In other words, there is no heteroscedasticity in the residuals; thus, the residuals can be said to be homoscedastic.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The current study focussed on the impact of the British decision of voting to leave the European Union and its impact on Indian benchmark indices Sensex and Nifty fifty. In order to realise the stated objectives the researcher has collected the data from 24-06-2015 to 19-07-2016 from the capital line data base. The collected data has been tested for stationarity. An event study methodology has been employed to ascertain the abnormal returns (AR) and student t test has been used to test the significance. For the purpose of the study the dates on which the Brexit referendum came out were taken as the event date (t = 0). The thirty one days enclosing the referendum (i.e., t = - 15,……,0……., +15) is labelled as the event window. Further, to investigate the time varying volatility GARCH (1,1) model has been applied.

The current study revealed that in case of Sensex the highest AR recorded in the pre-event period ranging from the lowest value of -0.012869276 on day -5 to the highest value of 0.01440066 on day -6. However, in the post-event period the highest AR recorded ranging from the lowest value of -0.012429592 on day 3 to the highest value of 0.019001654 on day 12. On the event day i.e. Brexit results announcement day the abnormal returns were 0.025392241 with a t value of 3.101151087. However, none of the Abnormal Return (AR) were statistically significant at 5% other than the event day (0) and on day 12. Therefore, we can conclude that Brexit referendum has an impact on Sensex on the event day. In case of Nifty fifty, the study pointed out that the highest AR recorded in the pre-event period ranging from the lowest value of -0.013942608 on day -5 to the highest value of 0.013206845 on day -6. However, in the post-event period the highest AR recorded ranging from the lowest value of -0.013230584 on day 3 to the highest value of 0.017280312 on day 12. On the event day i.e. Brexit results announcement day the abnormal returns were 0.023632173 with a t value of 2.763586544. Once again, none of the Abnormal Returns were statistically significant at conventional level of 5% other than on the event day (0) and on day twelfth with a t value of 2.020789107. Therefore, we can conclude that Brexit referendum has an impact on Nifty Index on the day of event. To investigate the significant difference between the pre-event window abnormal returns (-15 to -1) and post event window abnormal returns (+15 to 1) for 15 days event window and 7 days event window student t test was run in both the cases we were unable to reject the null hypothesis, meaning that there was no significant difference between pre-event window abnormal returns and post event abnormal returns.

The historical volatility (standard deviation) and GARCH (1,1) modelling techniques have been used to analyse the possible shift in volatility of the chosen indices (Sensex and Nifty fifty). The SD of pre Brexit event of Sensex was 0.00745234 and for post event was 0.008857243 in case of Sensex it has increased by 0.001404903. However, in case of Nifty Fifty pre Brexit referendum the SD was 0.007389438 and post Breixt referendum it was 0.008517576. The historical volatility in Nifty fifty increased by 0.001128138. That the SD of pre Brexit event in case of Sensex for 7 days event window was 0.00992117 and for post event was 0.009024771. Therefore in case of Sensex it has come down by -0.0008964. For Nifty Fifty pre Brexit referendum the SD was 0.009731123 and post Breixt referendum it was 0.008872168. The historical volatility of Nifty fifty was come down by -0.00085895. However, the change in historical volatility in both the cases were not statistically significant. In the last phase the indices (Sensex and Nifty) were grouped on the basis of existence of ARCH and GARCH effect before and after the Brexit referendum. We found a GARCH effect before the referendum (Normal Gaussian distribution, Student t distribution and GED with fix parameter) and there was neither ARCH nor GARCH effect after the referendum for Sensex. Similarly in case of Nifty fifty there was a GARCH effect before the referendum and there was neither ARCH nor GARCH effect after the referendum. Our results seem to agree with the findings of Sathyanarayana.
and Pushpa (2016). Anti Brexiteers claim that the consequences of the Brexit referendum would be huge for the British because, the British economy and legal system have become strongly unified with the EU. Untangling those relationships is likely to be culturally and economically disturbing. On the other hand, Brexit supporters have argued that U.K. will be better off in the long run outside the European Union, with sovereignty and control over immigration, economic regulations and to get control of England’s own borders. The 2008 financial crisis hit Eurozone very badly and the current Syrian refugee crisis have put the EU’s broken political institutions under strain. Now the British exit could further disturb Europeans’ confidence that the EU venture can endure over the long run, causing other countries to eye the exits. The outcome of the Brexit poll was a shock to the global economy. It creates a colossal gloom of uncertainty over financial markets across the globe particularly for higher risk class assets like stocks, forex etc. Stock markets may react temporarily and the magnitude is likely to be small especially from the perspective of Indian stock markets.

Though, the current study confirms the impact of Brexit referendum on both Sensex and Nifty fifty, they were however, statistically significant only for the event day and twelfth day. There was a volatility in both the chosen indices for the fifteen days event window. However, it was not statistically significant. The study confirmed the existence of GARCH effect before the event window (-15 to -1) and later we found that there was no GARCH or ARCH effect. This signifies the strength of the Indian economy (may be coupled with decrease in crude oil prices). India doesn’t have to fear much about the consequences of Brexit, but stock market and currency may turn volatile in the short run. India makes more investments in Britain than in the rest of the EU put together. Since Britain, provided a gateway to the EU for many Indian companies, now these companies are expected to relocate their operations to other European nations. The most affected sectors might be pharmaceuticals, IT, banking and automobile. Devaluation of Pound may affect the IT Company’s revenue in the short run and would also make tough for the exporters as we have trade surplus with UK. Another worrying factor for Indian stock markets are the abrupt increase in global risk factor may affect the FIIs inflows. According to a country brief by the Ministry of External Affairs. Brexit could jeopardise those investments in the UK as it may hurt the operations and earnings of these companies.
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