

How Doc sprint model can help teachers create educational course materials

Dr. Berne Tytler

University of Aberdeen, King's College, Aberdeen AB24 3FX, United Kingdom.

Abstract

The objective of this sprint was to make exercises that educators inspired by consolidating open source into an assortment of various courses can utilize. The sprint was bolstered by a National Science Foundation (NSF)- subsidized undertaking to explore the potential for understudy gaining from an expert open source group (called "vertical teaming").

Keywords: Doc Sprint Model, teaching, Courseware designing, educational programming

Introduction

Making learning exercises—or, actually, any curricular materials—is typically something the educator does alone, and it's unbelievably tedious. Indeed, even the making of an end-of-unit test requires profound topic ability alongside information of good testing rehearses. Learning exercises can be additional tedious to make, as they include connections with true frameworks, or on account of open source, genuine groups, which are always showing signs of change—and are not very much archived in reading material.

Taking a doc sprint approach, in any case, permitted the educators to join their individual aptitude sets—alongside those of the two business members—with a specific end goal to cooperatively create an assortment of excellent learning exercises that can be utilized crosswise over processing educational program.

Who was there and what did we do?

In the course of recent years, Red Hat and the NSF have supported severel Professor's Open Source Software Experience (POSSE) workshops, which offer employees some assistance with learning how to include understudies in Free and Open Source Software (FOSS) ventures. An arrangement of materials has been made, and amid this workshop educators attempted to extend that beginning arrangement of exercises. The members were all pioneers or graduated class of POSSE:

- Greg Hislop from Drexel University
- Lori Postner and Darci Burdge from Nassau Community College
- Heidi Ellis and Stoney Jackson from Western New England University
- Evelyn Brannock and Nanette Napier from Georgia Gwinnett College
- Ben Coleman from Moravian College

- Ruby El Karboutly from Quinnipiac University
- Suzanne Mello-Stark from Worcester Polytechnic Institute
- Alex Mezei from Lenoir-Rhyne University
- Gina Likins and Nick Yeates from Red Hat

Taking after the "scratch your own tingle" maxim that is predominant in FOSS groups, the gathering split into groups of 2-4 individuals in view of members' hobbies and holes in materials that had been made in past POSSE sessions. Teams took a shot at exercises for zones as fluctuated as versatile applications, capstone ventures, CS1, and "open hotspot for non-software engineers." The group additionally gained ground on some larger amount issues, similar to action layouts and association, which permitted us to push ahead on some huge picture issues too.

Occasional "report out" sessions permitted every group to advise the gathering of advancement, get criticism on what they had finished, and recognize potential ranges for further work. What's more, the up close and personal nature of the meeting permitted groups to rapidly regroup as essential. For instance, after a report-out session, I briefly joined a gathering taking a shot at a UI/UX movement, as I have involvement around there.

Every one of the materials—18 new exercises and a few more upgraded ones—are authorized under Creative Commons and accessible for adjustment and use. Despite the fact that the materials were made in view of school courses, a few exercises can even be utilized with K-12 understudies.

Conclusion and recommendations

The materials sprint has numerous favorable circumstances when growing course materials. Notwithstanding the quite undeniable advantage of creating extra courses for teachers to include understudies in open source, the fellowship of gathering advancement gives inspiration and makes the experience fun!

Bunch advancement gives extra info into the action improvement, while likewise giving extra eyes to verification and test the action — like another FOSS witticism that "sufficiently given eyeballs, all bugs are shallow." Involving industry experts brought another arrangement of advantages—their industry experience permitted us to make the exercises more important and significant for understudies.

Lastly, it's generally slick when you try to do you say others should do: Instructors utilizing a docs sprint-like way to deal with cooperatively create open source educational modules materials is a flawlessly self-referential and effective activity.

References

Booy, C. FEMALE ROLE MODELS MAKE A DIFFERENCE.

Busby, J., & Carpenter, P. P. (2009). Teaching Students about Clean Fuels and Transportation Technologies. *The Technology Teacher*, 68(7), 16.

Germain-McCarthy, Y., & Gill, I. (2014). *Bringing the Common Core Math Standards to Life: Exemplary Practices from High Schools*. Routledge.

Kennedy, M. J., Driver, M. K., Pullen, P. C., Ely, E., & Cole, M. T. (2013). Improving teacher candidates' knowledge of phonological awareness: A multimedia approach. *Computers & Education*, 64, 42-51.

Lippincott, J. K. (2005). Net generation students and libraries. *Educause Review*, 40(2), 56-66.

Lopez, B. (2010). Developing a Successful Open Source Training Model. *Open Source Business Resource*, (January 2010).

Lopez, B. (2010). DEVELOPING OPEN SOURCE TRAINING MODEL. *The Open Source Business Resource*, 25.

Pauca, V. P., & Guy, R. T. (2012, February). Mobile apps for the greater good: a socially relevant approach to software engineering. In *Proceedings of the 43rd ACM technical symposium on Computer Science Education* (pp. 535-540). ACM.

Ruth, S. R. (2006). E-Learning-A Financial and Strategic Perspective. *Educause Quarterly*, 29(1), 22.

Scharff, C., Wasilewska, A., Wong, J., Bousso, M., Ndiaye, I., & Sarr, C. (2009, October). A model for teaching mobile application development for social changes: Implementation and lessons learned in senegal. In *Computer Science and Information Technology, 2009. IMCSIT'09. International Multiconference on* (pp. 383-389). IEEE.

Smith, A. L. (2011). Teaching a course on creativity in counseling: Ideas for counselor educators. *Journal of Creativity in Mental Health*, 6(2), 149-165.

Thomas Jr, R. C. (2005). Supporting online students with personal interaction. *Educause Quarterly*, 28(1), 44-51.