IRA-International Journal of Education & Multidisciplinary Studies ISSN 2455–2526; Vol.03, Issue 03 (2016) Institute of Research Advances http://research-advances.org/index.php/IJEMS



# **Students' Involvement in Campus Activities: Implications to Levels of Sociability**

<sup>1</sup> **Shirlyn L. Larupay** West Visayas State University-Janiuay Campus Cabesa Jose D. Brana Street Janiuay, Iloilo, Philippines.

<sup>2</sup> Guiller P. Pendon West Visayas State University-Janiuay Campus Cabesa Jose D. Brana Street Janiuay, Iloilo, Philippines.

## DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21013/jems.v3.n3.p11

## How to cite this paper:

Larupay, S., & P. Pendon, G. (2016). Students' Involvement in Campus Activities: Implications to Levels of Sociability. *IRA International Journal of Education and Multidisciplinary Studies (ISSN 2455–2526)*, 3(3). doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.21013/jems.v3.n3.p11

© Institute of Research Advances

## (CC) BY-NC

This works is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License subject to proper citation to the publication source of the work.

**Disclaimer:** The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by the Institute of Research Advances (IRA) are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of the IRA. The IRA disclaims of any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.

### ABSTRACT

Students' involvement and sociability in campus activities provide college students with ample opportunities to have a greater magnitude of student-to-student interactions. As such, they are more likely to perceive their educational experiences as having high quality compared to those of non- participants. This descriptive study utilized a sample of 300 students of WVSU-Janiuay Campus who were chosen through stratified purposive sampling. The results indicated that students often participated in campus activities. As to extent of involvement students are always involved in campus activities. The levels of sociability significant difference existed while no significant differences existed in and levels of sociability significant difference existed while no significant relationship among campus activities that students participated in, extent of students' involvement and levels of sociability. Implications and recommendations for future research were discussed.

Keywords: Campus Activities, Implications, Levels of Sociability, Students' Involvement

Participation with non-academic pursuits is not only beneficial to student development, but is known to be highly valued by teachers and staff. It may seem like a small change, but by demonstrating to students that we view these activities as equally important to academic study (Pascarella, et al, 2001).

Building an interactive campus is an integral component of universities' educational mission. Perhaps this vision is best characterized by an image of students, faculty, and staff helping one another day by day to cultivate aspirations, nurture commitments, and practice they profess.

Seen in this light, being part of the West Visayas State University (WVSU) system is not ultimately about personal gratification, "doing one's own thing," or peaceful co-existence, although WVSU-Janiuay is certainly an academe where its constituents can enjoy considerable freedoms, excel, and build lasting friendships by participating in various activities.

Research was performed on the claim of fact that students involved in extracurricular activities receive higher grades than those not involved in activities. This topic was studied because budget for school activities are meager, and the administrators of schools want to spend the money efficiently. This report examines the correlations among the activities that students participated in, extent of participation in campus activities, and levels of sociability.

A myriad of components contribute to the reasons why extracurricular activities benefit students academically. One of these reasons is that students learn character-building lessons that they can apply to their study habits and to their lives. Activities such as athletics, music, theater, and organizations teach students how to discipline themselves through drills, practices, or rehearsals (Astin, 1993). The students have a responsibility to the activity and must perform the tasks assigned to them whether it be to run, sing, act, or organize an event. By participating and persevering in any of these activities, the students gain a sense of self-respect, self-esteem, and self-confidence. Extracurricular activities give them pride in their accomplishments, and they learn that if an activity is worth doing, it is worth doing well.

Through extracurricular activities, students learn life skills that benefit their studies. Matt Craft, president of the Iowa State University Government of the Student Body, stated that being involved teaches students organization and time management skills. Because activities take time out of the students' schedules, the involved students must plan their time wisely and efficiently to complete the assigned tasks.

It is believed that given the right tools, students will thrive in taking charge of their own development, but to help them do this, we need to reassess our role as higher education providers. We should not just provide the opportunities for students to achieve good academic results but actively

Funded by West Visayas State University- Janiuay Campus

promote the benefits of a wider curriculum to students. After all, university should be seen as a transformative experience through which students can prepare themselves to succeed in the many and varied roles they will undertake in future life (Trevino, 2002).

That's why, over the last few years, West Visayas State University-Janiuay Campus has not been into research on campus activities and levels of sociability and its importance and value of both academic curriculum and co-curricular activities in developing the range of skills and attributes that are important for graduates. Armed with a better sense of the student journey, the university has designed a development plan to support students' transition through independence and competencies in the future work and enable them to take responsibility for their own development.

This study aimed to assess the students' involvement in campus activities and its implications to levels of sociability:

Specifically, this study sought to answer the following questions:

- 1. How frequent do students participate in campus activities as an entire group and when classified as to sex, course and year level?
- 2. What are the extent of students' involvement in campus activities as an entire group and when taken classified as to sex, course and year level?
- 3. What are the levels of sociability in campus activities as entire group and when classified as to sex, course and year level?
- 4. Is there a significant difference in the campus activities that students participated in when classified as to sex, course and year level?
- 5. Is there a significant difference in the extent of students' involvement in campus activities when classified as to sex, course and year level?
- 6. Is there a significant difference in the levels of sociability in campus activities when classified as to sex, course and year level.
- 7. Is there significant relationship in the campus activities that students participated in, extent of students' involvement and levels of sociability?

### Methodology

This study determined the students' involvement in campus activities and its implications to levels of sociability of West Visayas State University Janiuay Campus

It also aimed to ascertain whether the students' involvement in campus activities and its implications to levels of sociability could be influenced by personal factors.

Descriptive research involves gathering data events and then organizes, tabulates, depicts, and describes the data collection (Garcia, 2003).

The respondents of the study were the three hundred (300) students of West Visayas State University- Janiuay Campus. They were taken through stratified purposive sampling. As initial step, the researcher identified the respondents. Identification of respondents was based on sex, course and year level.

The researchers constructed a rating scale designed to determine the level of students' involvement in campus activities and its implications to levels of sociability.

The tentative draft of the questionnaires on students' involvement in campus activities was submitted for validation to panel of jurors who are expert in the field of student affairs. An adapted questionnaire from David, et al for levels of sociability.

After the questionnaire was revised and finalized, permission to conduct the study was secured from the campus administrator and the instrument was distributed to the respondents at West Visayas State University-Janiuay Campus. The researcher gathered the accomplished instruments as soon as the respondents finished answering them.

The data gathered were subjected to certain statistical analysis to determine the levels of students' involvement in campus activities and its implications to levels of sociability.

In determining the responses of students in campus activities that they participated in the numerical weights and responses are as follows:

Scale of Mean	Description
3.21 - 4.00	Always
2.51 - 3.20	Often
1.76 - 2.50	Seldom
1.00 - 1.75	Never

In determining the results in extent of students' involvement in campus activities an arbitrary scale was used:

Scale of Mean	Description
3.21 - 4.00	Always
2.51 - 3.20	Often
1.76 - 2.50	Seldom
1.00 - 1.75	Never

In determining responses of levels of sociability this scale with its interpretation was used.

Scale of Mean	Responses	Interpretation
3.21 - 4.00	Always	Very High
2.51 - 3.20	Often	High
1.76 - 2.50	Seldom	Low
1.00 - 1.75	Never	Very Low

Table 1

Distribution of the Respondents

Categories	f	%	
Entire	300	100	
Sex		100	
Male	150	50	
Female	150	50	
Course			
BEED	50	16.67	
BSED	50	16.67	
BS Infotech	50	16.67	
BSHRST	50	16.67	
BSIT	50	16.67	
BCM	50	16.67	
Year Level			
1 <sup>st</sup> Year	75	25	
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	75	25	
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	75	25	
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	75	25	

## **Results and Discussion**

Table 2

Categories	No. of Respondents	Mean	Description	Standard Deviation
Entire	300	3.07	Often	.67
Sex				
Male	150	2.99	Often	. 65
Female	150	3.26	Always	.52
Course				
BEED	50	3.67	Always	.54
BSED	50	3.50	Always	.50
BSInfotech	50	2.79	Often	.57
BSHRST	50	2.82	Often	.47
BSIT	50	2.42	Seldom	.50
BCM	50	3.23	Always	.54
Year Level				
1 <sup>st</sup> Year	75	3.73	Always	.50
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	75	3.41	Always	.72
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	75	2.28	Seldom	.48
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	75	2.61	Seldom	.67

Responses of the Students in Campus Activities that they Participated In

Scale of Mean	Description
3.21 - 4.00	Always
2.51 - 3.20	Often
1.76 - 2.50	Seldom
1.00 - 1.75	Never

Table 3

Extent of Students' Involvement in Campus Activities

Categories	No. of Respondents	Mean	Description	Standard Deviation
Entire	300	3.25	Always	.05
Sex				
Male	150	3.24	Always	. 05
Female	150	3.25	Always	.05
Course				
BEED	50	3.23	Always	.06
BSED	50	3.25	Always	.05
BS Infotech	50	3.25	Always	.05
BSHRST	50	3.25	Always	.05
BSIT	50	3.25	Always	.05

BCM	50	3.36	Always	.04	
<i>Year Level</i> 1 <sup>st</sup> Year	75	3.24	Always	.06	
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	75	3.25	Always	.05	
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	75	3.25	Always	.05	
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	75	3.26	Always	.04	

Scale of Mean	Description
3.21 - 4.00	Always
2.51 - 3.20	Often
1.76 - 2.50	Seldom
1.00 - 1.75	Never
- ·	

## Table 4

Levels of Sociability	vels of Sociab	ility
-----------------------	----------------	-------

Categories	No. of Respondents	Mean	Description	Standard Deviation
Entire	300	3.23	Very High	.21
Sex				
Male	150	3.31	Very High	. 25
Female	150	3.15	High	.12
Course				
BEED	50	3.38	Very High	.33
BSED	50	3.33	Very High	.27
BS Infotech	50	3.22	Very High	.05
BSHRST	50	3.04	High	.09
BSIT	50	3.18	High	.11
BCM	50	3.23	Very High	.06
Year Level				
1 <sup>st</sup> Year	75	3.40	Very High	.34
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	75	3.22	Very High	.05
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	75	3.07	High	.11
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	75	3.23	Very High	.06

Scale of Mean	Responses	Interpretation
3.21 - 4.00	Always	Very High
2.51 - 3.20	Often	High
1.76 - 2.50	Seldom	Low
1.00 - 1.75	Never	Very Low

## Inferential Data Analysis

Table 5

Differences in the Responses of the Students in Campus Activities that they Participated In using t-Test

Categorie	es	Mean	df	t-value	Symp Sig.(2	-tailed)	Statistical Decision
Sex N	Male	2.9990	298	-3.469	.000		Significant
F	Female	2.2637	270	-3.407	.000		Significant
*p<.05, S Table 5 Differenc Way ANC	ces in		es of the S	tudents in Co	ampus Activitie	es that they l	Participated In using O
Categori	ies		df	F	A	ssymp sig.	Statistical Decision
E E E	BEED BSED BS Infe BSHR BSHR BSIT BCM		299	7.129	.000	Signi	ificant
2 3	<i>level</i> I <sup>st</sup> Yea 2 <sup>nd</sup> Yea 3 <sup>rd</sup> Yea I <sup>th</sup> Yea	ar Ir	299	11.688	.(	000	Significant

\*p<.05, Significant

### Table 6

Differences in the Extent of Students' Involvement in Campus Activities using t-Test

Categories	Mean	df	t-value	Symp Sig.(2-tailed)	Statistical Decision
Sex Male Femal	2.9990 e 3.2637	298	-2.096	.130	Not Significant

\**p*>.05, Not Significant

## **IRA-International Journal of Education & Multidisciplinary Studies**

Categories	df	F	Sig	Statistical Decision
Course BEED BSED BS Info BSHRS BSIT BCM		1 .234	.293	Not significant
Year Level 1 <sup>st</sup> Year 2 <sup>nd</sup> Yea 3 <sup>rd</sup> Year 4 <sup>th</sup> Year	r 299 r	1.320	.268	Not significant
<sup>∗</sup> p>.05 Not Sig Fable 8	gnificant			
	1 1 1 60	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Differences in t	he Levels of So	ciability using t-1	est	
	he Levels of So Mean	df t-value	Symp Sig.(1	2-tailed) Statistical Decisior
Categories	Mean 2.9990			2-tailed) Statistical Decision Significant
Categories Sex Male Female	Mean 2.9990 3.2637	df t-value	Symp Sig.(	
Female *p<.05, Signific Table 9	Mean 2.9990 3.2637 cant	df t-value	Symp Sig.(: .000	
Categories Sex Male Female *p < .05, Signific Table 9	Mean 2.9990 3.2637 cant	df t-value   298 6.784	Symp Sig.(: .000	

\_

Table 7 Differen in the Extent of Students' Involvement in Co Activities using One Way ANOVA

Year Level				
1 <sup>st</sup> Year				
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	299	40.206	. 000	Significant
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year				-
4 <sup>th</sup> Year				

\*p<.05 Significant

### Table 10

Relationship Between Responses of the Students in Campus Activities that they Participated with, Extent of Students' Involvement and Levels of Sociability

Source of Variation Campus Activities that	Pearson's r	2 -tailed probability	Statistical Decision
Students Participated with			
Extent of Students' Involvement	.878	.001	Significant
Level of Sociability			

\*p<.05, Significant

#### Discussion

The respondents often participated in campus activities when taken as an entire group while as to sex, the male responses were often while those of female were always. Willms, (2000), stated that most students participated in academic and non-academic activities at school to develop sense of belonging with their friends, have good relations with teachers and other students, and identify with and value schooling outcomes.

The Bachelor of Science in Elementary Education (BEEd), Bachelor of Science in Secondary Education (BSEd) and Bachelor of Caregiving Management (BCM) always participated, while BS Information Technology (BS Infotech) and Bachelor of Science in Hotel and Restaurant Technology (BSHRST) often participated while Bachelor of Science in Industrial Technology (BSIT) seldom participated in campus activities. The result explained the idea of Kuh (1995), which states that participation in extracurricular activities provides opportunities for students to apply classroom knowledge to real-world settings and develop skills that will assist in the practical realities of living after graduation.

As to year level,1<sup>st</sup> year and 2<sup>nd</sup> year students always participated while 3<sup>rd</sup> year and 4<sup>th</sup> year seldom participated in campus activities. The results as cited by Burton (2001), could be done to the fact that college sponsored activities do not receive the full participation of all students despite the opportunities associated with extracurricular involvement.

In determining students' involvement in campus activities when taken as an entire group and when classified as to sex, course and year level, their responses were "always" or had a very high level of sociability. The result encompassed the idea of Astin (1993), which stated that having an active college social life by participating in college student organizations could influence how one perceives his or her own college experience. He added that students with more opportunities to involve in the overall student

life of the institution could have more student-to-student interactions. Consequently, student interactions were found to cultivate a more active social life in college.

The levels of sociability as an entire group were very high. When classified as to sex, male were very high while those of female were high. As to course, the BEED, BSED,

BS Infotech and BCM were very high while those of BSHRST and BSIT were high. As to year level, 1<sup>st</sup> year, 2<sup>nd</sup> year and 4<sup>th</sup> year were very high while 3<sup>rd</sup> year were high. Significant differences existed when students were classified as to sex, course and year level. Baxter (1992), found that college sociability and affiliation cultivates students' intellectual development by initially teaching them responsibility and independence in regard to meeting new people who are becoming knowledgeable to the campus environment.

As to inferential statistics, **t**-Test result showed that there was a significant difference in the responses in the campus activities participated in when respondents were classified as to sex because the p value was less than 0.05 level of significance. This can be inferred with the idea of Trevino (1991), who found that extracurricular involvement was not significantly influenced by selected demographic data such as age, sex, GPA.

The One way ANOVA test revealed that significant difference existed in the

campus activities that students participated in when classified as to course and year level, because the two-tailed probability was less than the set of .05. This can be inferred to the idea of Abrahamowicz (1988), who cited by using the College Student Experiences Questionnaire (QSEC) to assess these variables, the study found that significant differences existed between the college experiences of undergraduate students who were members of organizations compared to students who were not.

Employing the t-test for independent samples, the result revealed that no significant differences existed in the extent of students' involvement in campus activities when they were classified as to sex, since the two-tailed probability was greater than the set of 0.05 level of significance. Baxter (1992), postulated that a student's learning and development were directly proportional to the quality and quantity of a student's involvement in the academic experience.

The One-way ANOVA test revealed that there was no significant difference existed in the students' involvement in campus activities when respondents were classified as to course and as to year level the two-tailed probability is greater than the set of .05 level of significance. Pascrella, 1991, cited that the greater the students involvement in college was the greater will be the amount of student learning and personal development.

There was positive and significant relationship in campus activities that student participated in, extent of students' involvement and levels of sociability. Terenzini, 1991, found out that when thinking in retrospect, college graduates perceived their extracurricular involvement as having substantial impact on the development of interpersonal and leadership skills important to general occupational success. Extracurricular activities involvement enhanced interpersonal and leadership skills, allowing students to explore their goals and to identify steps to achieve such goals.

### Conclusions

In view of the findings, the following conclusions were deduced:

Almost every school offers some type of extracurricular activity, such as music, academic clubs, and sports. These activities offer opportunities for students to learn the values of teamwork, individual and group responsibility, physical strength and endurance, competition, diversity, and a sense of culture and community. Extracurricular activities provide a channel for reinforcing the lessons learned in the classroom, offering students the opportunity to apply academic skills in a real-world context, and are thus considered part of a well-rounded education.

Participation of students in various activities can be considered as meta-construct that includes behavioral, emotional and cognitive engagement. What makes participation unique is on how it can draw on the involvement in academic, social and extra-curricular activities and is considered crucial for improving positive academic outcomes. It must focus on the extent of positive reactions to teachers, students and the academic community. If, indeed, participation in extracurricular activities can lead to success in school, then the availability of these activities to students of all backgrounds becomes an important equity issue in background and school setting.

#### Recommendations

The West Visayas State University-Janiuay Campus must look into the responses of the respondents as to the campus activities that students participated in, their extent of involvement and levels of sociability in order to include in the campus calendar the activities that will maximize students' attendance and promote students' achievement.

There must be continued monitoring and evaluation of the campus activities that can increase the levels of sociability among students.

The administration must consider the conduct of activities with relevance to the students' welfare and must have promoted integral development among students.

The Office of Student Affairs must have encouraged students to become involved and stay involved in various campus activities. An effort to improve attendance in all campus activities of all students as an integral part of the larger school reform figure must also be given emphasis by the OSA.

Strong administrative support must be given in the conduct of relevant and enriching campus activities must also be given priority.

Further researches must be conducted in order to widen the perspectives along this line. If possible, variables not being studied must be taken into account to make this study more comprehensive and other dimensions of students' participation and its implications to levels of sociability must also be explained by future researchers.

### References

- Abrahamowics, D. (1988). College involvement, perceptions, and satisfaction: A study of membership in student organizations. *Journal of College Student Development*.
- Astin, A.W. (1993). *What matters in college*? Four-critical years revisited. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Baxter, M.(1992).Curricullar influences on college students'intellectual development. *Journal of College Development*.

Burton, M.D. (2001). Identifying potential participants for college extracurricular activities. *College Student Journal.* 

David, S. et al. *How friendly are you*? Sociability Test. www. Geocities.ws./paolaandsarah/ instructions.htm.

Garcia, F. (2003). Awareness on social studies program in public secondary schools in Bacolod City: An evaluation (Unpublished dissertation). WVSU, Iloilo City.

Kuh, G.D. (1995). The other curriculum: Out-of-class experiences associated with student learning and personal development. *Journal of Higher Education*.

Pascarilla, E. et al. (2001). How college affects students. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Trevino, J. (2002). *Participation in ethnic/racial student organizations*. University of California, Los Angeles: Unpublished doctoral dissertation.

Willms, J.D. (2000). Student engagement at school a sense of belonging and participation. Organization for Eonomic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Journal.



West Visayas State University Janiuay Campus Janiuay, Iloilo

## "Students' Involvement in Campus Activities: Implications to Levels of Sociability"

A. Personal Information

Name: (Optional)			
Year Level:	Sex:	Male	Female
Course:			

B. Instructions: Check the column that corresponds to your response in campus activities that you participate in:

As a student I participated in campus activities	Never	Seldom	Often	Always
like:				
1. Accreditation				
2. Acquaintance Party				
3. Buwan ng Wika				
4. BSIT Week				
5. Cultural Night				
6. English Festival				
7. Foundation Day				
8. Go-Green Program				
9. Graduation Program				
10.Hinampang				
11. Holy Mass				
12.Independence Day				
13. IT Week				
14. Literary Musical Contest				
15.Nutrition Month				
16.Orientation Program				
17.Outreach Program				
18.Paramedics Day				
19.Recognition Program				
20.Skills Olympics				
21.Search for Miss and Mr. WVSU				
22.U-Week				
23.Valentines Day				
24. World Teachers Day				

C. Instructions: Check the column that corresponds to the frequency of your involvement in the campus activities.

Ι	involved in campus activities that	Never	Seldom	Often	Always
1.	affect greatly my academic performance				
2.	address my problems like low				
	achievement, high level of boredom and				
	alienation				
3.	develop my emotional, cognitive and				
	social behaviors				
4.	discourage me from misbehaving				
5.	promote my holistic development in my				
	life				
6.	help accomplish my motivational needs				
	likes autonomy, competence and				
	relatedness				
7.					
	improves my leadership skills				
	are essential to my long term well-being				
10.	open doors for other opportunities that will				
	help me become successful				
11.	. reinforce my high expectations for social				
	responsibilities				
12.	. help me familiarize with the learning				
	environment				
13.	. provide me with an avenue to meet my				
	future life-partner				
14.	. help me establish commonalities with				
	others and establish friendships				
15.	. provide me with rewarding and				
	challenging activities				
16.	. help promotes my feeling of support and				
	relatedness				
17.	. motivate me to do well in school				
18.	. make me proud of my school				
	. help me perceive that rules of school to be				
	enforced are fair				
20.	. helps my friends to look forward to go to				
	school				
21.	. helps me participate in decision making				
	eases my feeling of loneliness				
	. help me feel that close to or valued by				
	teachers and school staff				
24.	set standards and help us students to meet				
	it				
25.	reinforce explicit expectations for our				
	positive behavior and academic success as				
	students				
26	create welcoming environment for us				
	students				
27.	. create common vision of success for us				
	students				

## **IRA-International Journal of Education & Multidisciplinary Studies**

28. creates trusting relationships among students, faculty and staff		
29. provide opportunities for my involvement in productive roles		
30. reinforce pro- social environment for us students		

## D. Directions: Please check the corresponding column that corresponds to your level of sociability.

vays

## IRA-International Journal of Education & Multidisciplinary Studies

27. inclined to avoid people whenever possible?		
28. need people to approach you first before you converse with them?		
29. inclined to limit your acquaintances to selected few?		
30. feel ill at ease with people?		