IRA-International Journal of Education & Multidisciplinary Studies

ISSN 2455-2526; Vol.19, Issue 02 (Q2, 2023) Pg. no. 143-159. IRA Academico Research



Subjective Well-Being and Trait Gratitude Among Chinese University Postgraduates

Zhong Yongle^{a#} & Yang Ming^a

^aSchool of Language, Shanghai University of International Business and Economics, Songjiang District, Shanghai, China.

Type of Work: Peer Reviewed.

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21013/jems.v19.n2.p11

Review history: Submitted: June 14, 2023; Revised: June 27, 2023; Accepted: July 10, 2023

How to cite this paper:

Yongle, Z., & **Ming, Y.** (2023). Subjective Well-Being and Trait Gratitude Among Chinese University Postgraduates/*IRA-International Journal of Education & Multidisciplinary Studies* (ISSN 2455-2526), 19(2), 143-159. https://dx.doi.org/10.21013/jems.v19.n2.p11

© IRA Academico Research.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License subject to a proper citation to the publication source of the work.

Disclaimer: The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by IRA Academico Research are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of IRA Academico Research. IRA Academico Research disclaims any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.

IRA Academico Research is an institutional publisher member of *Publishers International Linking Association Inc. (PILA-CrossRef), USA.* Being an institutional signatory to the *Budapest Open Access Initiative, Hungary*, the content published by IRA Academico Research is available under Open Access. IRA Academico Research is also a registered content provider under *Open Access Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH)*.

This paper is peer-reviewed following IRA Academico Research's Peer Review Program 2.

Zhong Yongle 0/0009-0001-0507-5111

[#]corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present study was to explore whether trait gratitude correlates with subjective well-being (SWB) among Chinese university postgraduates as well as gender and only-child differences in the mean scores on measures of SWB and gratitude. A sample of 50 Chinese postgraduates participated in the research including the measurements of trait gratitude and subjective well-being. The findings indicate that gratitude was not associated with all items of SWB. However, the results find that gratitude was significantly and negatively correlated to negative affect, besides, satisfaction with life was positively correlated to the lack of deprivation and the gratitude to society. And the level of gratitude could be different in terms of gender and only child. The results indicate that female and not only-child reported higher levels of gratitude in comparison with male and only-child postgraduates respectively.

Keywords: subjective well-being (SWB); trait gratitude; gender; only-child; postgraduates.

1. Introduction

In recent years, there are many studies focusing on gratitude in psychology research (Bartlett and Desteno2016; Kong et al. 2015a; Williams and Bartlett, 2015). The experience and expression of gratitude are regarded as enhancing an individual's personal and relational well-being, and therefore beneficial for both individuals and society (Harpman, 2004). There are two different approaches to gratitude (i.e., trait gratitude and state gratitude). As a trait, gratitude is "part of a wider life orientation towards noticing and appreciating the positive in the world" (Wood et al. 2008). As a state, gratitude is "a felt sense of wonder, thankfulness, and appreciation for life" (Emmons and Shelton 2002, p. 460). Many researches have shown that trait gratitude plays an important role in physical health and mental health (Cheng et al. 2015; Hill et al. 2013; O'Connell et al. 2016). Therefore, the present study focused on the relationship between trait gratitude and subjective well-being (SWB) of postgraduates.

1.1Trait Gratitude

Gratitude has been depicted as an emotion, a mood, a moral virtue, a habit, a motive, a personality trait, a coping response, and a way of life. The Oxford English Dictionary (1989) defines gratitude as "the quality or condition of being thankful; the appreciation of an inclination to return kindness" (p. 1135). Klein viewed gratitude as a developmental achievement and hallmark of emotional maturity that "underlies the appreciation of goodness in others and in oneself' (Klein, 1957). In general, gratitude involves an acknowledgement of having benefited from someone else's actions. By showing this appreciation, individuals recognize others' benevolence (Emmons & Mishra, 2011). However, gratitude can also extend to something larger, such as a higher power, for example, God or nature. Wood, Froh, and Geraghty (2010) further proposed that gratitude is a broad life orientation whereby the positive aspects of life are noticed and appreciated. In addition, there are many factors that can influence people's gratitude such as disposition, self-concept, justice belief, family environment, social support, etc.

1.2 Subjective Well-being (SWB)

SWB is often defined as individuals' overall evaluations of their lives and emotional experiences (Diener et al., 2017). Diener believes that subjective well-being mainly includes two parts: cognitive evaluation and emotional experience. Cognitive evaluation refers to the individual's satisfaction evaluation of the overall quality of life; Emotional experience refers to the positive emotions (happiness, excitement, pride, love, etc.) and negative emotions (sadness, anger, jealousy, etc.) in individual life (1999) also proposed the structure of subjective well-being (Diener et al., 2017). If a person has high overall life satisfaction and experiences more positive emotions and less negative emotions, he will have strong subjective well-being. Yin Xiayun and Li Zhihua (2015) believed that positive emotion and negative emotion are independent of each other. Among the three factors, life satisfaction is the easiest to change. Given the key role of SWB in positive developmental outcomes, understanding its correlates is important to develop strategies to promote optimal functioning among youth. One such correlate is gratitude.

1.3 Trait Gratitude and Subjective Well-being (SWB)

Previous studies have believed that the youth group is a crucial period for the formation and development of gratitude (Yu Chengfu et al., 2011) and it continues to improve with age (Wang Jianping, Yu Chengfu, Zeng Yiyin, Ye Ting, Zhang Wei, 2011; Froh et al., 2009). Firstly, in the theoretical construction of the formation of subjective well-being, scholars have always attached great importance to the important role of gratitude. The theory of positive emotion expansion and construction holds that gratitude, as a positive emotion, can broaden people's thinking mode and construct positive and lasting social and psychological resources, so as to improve individual subjective well-being (Fredrickson & Branigan, 2005); Broaden-and-build theory states that positive emotions such as gratitude can help people build lasting resources that contribute to well-being (Fredrickson, 2004). Besides, many empirical studies have shown that trait gratitude is positively related to subjective well-being (Alkozei et al., 2018; Watkins et al., 2003; Wood et al., 2010). Specifically, many studies have found that trait gratitude has a positive relationship with positive effects and life satisfaction (Froh et al., 2008; Gan, 2009; Liu, 2012). In contrast, prior research on the link between trait gratitude and negative affect seemed to show inconsistent results. For example, some research found there was a weak correlation between trait gratitude and negative affect (Chan, 2013). In addition, some studies attempted to explore the predictive causality link between trait gratitude and SWB in two ways. On the one hand, several studies used gratitude interventions to increase levels of trait gratitude and SWB, thus indicating that trait gratitude has a beneficial effect on SWB (Chan, 2010; Froh et al., 2008;). However, these studies did not demonstrate the predictive causality link between trait gratitude and SWB, because the effects might reflect the common mechanisms related to psychosocial interventions. On the other hand, just one study used a prospective longitudinal method to investigate the predictive causality link between trait gratitude and SWB. The above research shows that gratitude is closely related to subjective well-being. However, gratitude stimulates different subjective well-being at different ages (Watkins et al., 2003). Therefore, the relationship between gratitude and subjective well-being may be different in different age groups, so more research is needed to be done.

1.4 The Present Study

The present study aims to explore the relationship between trait gratitude and subjective well-being of postgraduates as well as gender, only-child, and family place differences in the mean scores on measures of SWB and gratitude. Though many studies have estimated that they have a strong correlation, and with positive correlations between all facets except negative affect at home and abroad, there are no such studies that their participants are postgraduates. Gratitude stimulates different subjective well-being at different ages (Watkins et al., 2003). Therefore, the relationship between gratitude and subjective well-being may be different in different age groups. Hence, this study tries to research the gap through change the participants. This study's hypothesis is that there exists a strong correlation between trait gratitude and subjective well-being of postgraduates, and life satisfaction, positive affect has positive relations with all facets of subjective well-being, while negative affect converse.

2. Methodology

2.1 Participants and Data Collection

An amount of 50 Chinese postgraduates from three universities participated in the study. In the sample, 33 were females (66%), and 17 were males (34%). In regards to the population group, most participants were grade-one students (n = 33, 66%), grade two (n = 12, 24%), and grade-three (n = 5, 10%). Among them, 22 (44%) were the only child of family, 28 (56%) were not. And 34(68%) of them were from rural, 16 (32%) urban. All participants of this study volunteered for the present study, and then a multi-section questionnaire was completed through WeChat, which contained the measurements of trait gratitude and subjective well-being. The questionnaire was released by the application named Sojump. They were assured that the data would be kept confidential, and would be used for research purposes only. These participants were from Shanghai University of International Business and International, Hunan Normal University, and Changsha University of Science and Technology.

2.2 Instruments

2.2.1 Demographic Questionnaire

The demographic questionnaire included questions concerning participants' gender, grade, only-child, and family-place.

2.2.2 The Gratitude Resentment and Appreciation Test (GRAT Scale)

Trait gratitude was assessed by the GRAT (Watkins, Philip C., et al., 2003). This GRAT was shown to relate positively to various measures of SWB. Sun (2010) and others revised the scale. In order to adapt to the Chinese people, the newly revised scale has modified some questions of the original scale, with a total of 34 items. This 34-item scale assesses three different facets of grateful disposition that includes the lack of deprivation, gratitude to society, and gratitude to natural. It has been demonstrated that the Chinese version of the GRAT has great reliability and validity (Cronbach's alpha = .914; KIEU, 2017). In completing the scale, participants were requested to indicate their judgment of whether the statement in each item was descriptive of him or her on a five-point scale ranging from 1 (least like me) to 5 (most like me). A total score can be obtained by summing the thirty-four item responses (13 items are reverse scored), with higher scores reflecting greater dispositional gratitude. In the current research, the Cronbach alpha coefficients of the GRAT were .884.

2.2.3 Subjective Well-Being Scale (SWB Scale)

Subjective well-being was assessed by the subjective well-being questionnaire (Diener, Ed, et al., 1999). Yan, Zheng (2003) and others revised the scale. It includes four subscales, general well-being scale (GWB), satisfaction with life scale (SWLS), and Positive and negative affect schedule (PANAS). The GWB includes five items on a 9-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree; 9=strongly agree). In the present research, the SWLS had a Cronbach alpha coefficient of .805. The SWLS was used to assess the cognitive component of subjective well-being. The scale includes 5 items on a 7-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree; 7=strongly agree). The scale has shown fine reliability and validity in Chinese culture (Kong et al. 2015b; Kong and You 2013). In the present research, the SWLS had a Cronbach alpha coefficient of .872. The PANAS was used to evaluate the affective component of SWB (Diener et al., 2010). It includes 6 items for positive affect (e.g., 'positive' and 'joyful') and 8 items for negative affect (e.g., 'negative' and 'sad'). Participants were asked to assess the items on a 9-point Likert scale (1=very slightly or not at all; 9=extremely). In the current research, the Cronbach alpha coefficients of the PA and NA subscale were .892 and .931.

2.3 Data Analysis

This study utilized SPSS 23.0 to analyze the data. Descriptive statistics, Cronbach's alpha coefficients, correlation coefficients, and *t*-tests for independent samples were used to analyze the data. First, a correlation analysis was adopted to examine the correlations among the major variables. Then we explored the gender and only-child differences in the mean scores on measures of SWB and gratitude through independent *t*-tests.

3. Results

Table 1 reflects the descriptive statistics for all measures. The results indicated that gratitude was not associated with all items of SWB (p > .05). However, gratitude was significantly and negatively correlated to NA, besides, SWLS was positively correlated to the lack of deprivation and gratitude to society, as evident from Table 2. Two t-tests for independent samples were implemented to examine possible differences in gratitude and SWB among male and female postgraduates, as represented in Table 3. No differences emerged between males and females regarding subjective well-being, general life satisfaction, and positive and negative affect, whereas differences emerged in gratitude and satisfaction with life. Gender is positively correlated to gratitude (p < .05) and the degree of female (M = 3.64, t = -2.226, p = .021) is higher than the degree of male. In addition, females reported higher scores in SWLS (t =4.660, p = .000), compared with male postgraduates. Two independent sample t-tests were conducted to examine differences in gratitude between only-child and not-only-child postgraduates. Table 4 indicates that no only-child reported higher levels of gratitude (t =-4.062, p = .000) in comparison with only-child postgraduates.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics.

Measure	n	M	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis
GWB	50	6.00	1.40	-1.25	1.12
SWLS	50	4.86	1.11	-1.19	1.54
PA	50	5.97	1.54	94	13
NA	50	4.90	1.91	06	-1.48
Lack_Depri	50	3.03	0.92	.21	-1.31
Social_Grat	50	3.83	0.81	-1.40	1.56
Natural_Grat	50	4.07	0.91	-1.45	1.42
SWB	50	5.39	1.06	06	-1.25
GRAT	50	3.53	0.52	.62	.03

Note. GWB = General Well-being Scale; SWLS = Satisfaction with Life Scale; PA = Positive Affect Scale; NA = Negative Affect Scale; Lack_Depri = the Lack of Deprivation Scale; Social_Grat = the Gratitude to Society Scale; Natural_Depri = the Gratitude to Nature; SWB = Subjective Well-being Scale; GRAT = The Gratitude Resentment and Appreciation Test.

Table 2. Correlation Matrix for All Measures.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	GRAT						42**			
2.	SWB	.13								
3.	GWB									
4.	SWLS							.28*	.29*	
5.	PA									
6.	NA	42**						46**		
7.	Lack_Depri				.28*		46*			
8.	Social_Grat				.29*					
9.	Natural_Grat									

Note. GWB = General Well-being Scale; SWLS = Satisfaction with Life Scale; PA = Positive Affect Scale; NA = Negative Affect Scale; Lack_Depri = the Lack of Deprivation Scale; Social_Grat = the Gratitude to Society Scale; $Natural_Depri = the\ Gratitude\ to\ Nature;\ SWB = Subjective\ Well-being\ Scale;\ GRAT = The\ Gratitude\ Resentment$ and Appreciation Test.

Table 3 Significance of Differences in SWB and GRAT Between Male and Female Postgraduates.

Measure	gender	n	M	SD	F	t	p
GART	male	17	3.32	.42	4.493	-2.406	.031
	female	33	3.64	.53		-2.226	.021
SWB	male	17	5.56	1.25	3.991	.811	.421
	female	33	5.30	.95		.742	.465

p < .05; **p < .01, two-tailed.

149 IRA International Journal of Education and Multidisciplinary Studies, 19(2)

GWB	male	17	6.28	1.65	.863	.994	.325
	female	33	5.87	1.26		.911	.370
SWLS	male	17	5.59	.54	4.675	3.772	.000
	female	33	4.48	1.15		4.660	.000
PA	male	17	5.63	1.97	6.773	-1.131	.264
	female	33	6.15	1.26		985	.335
NA	male	17	5.04	2.10	1.222	.360	.721
	female	33	4.83	1.84		.345	.733

Note. GWB = General Well-being Scale; SWLS = Satisfaction with Life Scale; PA = Positive Affect Scale; NA = Negative Affect Scale; SWB = Subjective Well-being Scale; GRAT = The Gratitude Resentment and Appreciation Test.**p<0.01; *p<0.05.

Table 4 Significance of Differences in SWB and GRAT Between only-child and not only-child Postgraduates.

Measure	only-child	n	M	SD	F	t	p
GART	only	22	3.26	.29	13.469	-3.782	.000
	not only	28	3.75	.56		-4.062	.000
SWB	only	22	5.69	1.17	2.884	1.869	.068
	not only	28	5.14	.91		1.812	.078
GWB	only	22	5.85	1.77	7.335	724	.473
	not only	28	6.12	1.04		681	.501
SWLS	only	22	5.24	.77	3.183	2.233	.030
	not only	28	4.56	1.25		2.361	.023
PA	only	22	5.93	1.86	5.395	154	.879
	not only	28	6.00	1.27		147	.884
NA	only	22	5.72	1.93	.266	2.864	.006
	not only	28	4.26	1.66		2.813	.007

Note. GWB = General Well-being Scale; SWLS = Satisfaction with Life Scale; PA = Positive Affect Scale; NA = Negative Affect Scale; SWB = Subjective Well-being Scale; GRAT = The Gratitude Resentment and Appreciation Test. **p<0.01; *p<0.05.

4. Discussion

Findings from past studies have indicated that SWB and gratitude are strongly correlated, with positive correlations between all measures with the exception of NA, with a negative correlation (Alkozei et al., 2018; Watkins et al., 2003; Wood et al., 2010). The present study finds that gratitude was not associated with all items of SWB (p > .05). However, gratitude was significantly and negatively correlated to NA, besides, SWLS was positively correlated to the lack of deprivation and gratitude to society. And the level of gratitude could be different in terms of gender and only child. The results indicate that female and not only-child reported higher levels of gratitude in comparison with male and only-child postgraduates respectively.

5. Limitations and Directions for Future Research

The study certainly has many limitations. One obvious major limitation must be the small sample size. It could not represent most postgraduates. The present findings were based on an imbalanced gender ratio may be another major limitation. One other limitation of the present study could be the complete reliance on self-report data. Self-reports should be considered the primary source of data for subjective evaluation of well-being and character strengths. However, other sources such as peer reports and behavioural ratings, which could provide convergent and therefore, more compelling evidence, should be considered in future studies. Despite the limitations, this is the first time to explore the relationship between subjective well-being and gratitude among postgraduates as well as gender and only-child differences, although the results are not consistent with the hypothesis, it may still give some implications for future studies.

References

- Alkozei, A., Smith, R., & Killgore, W. D. (2018). Gratitude and subjective wellbeing: A proposal of two predictive causality frameworks. Journal of Happiness Studies, 19(5), 1519–1542.
- Bartlett, M. Y., &Desteno, D. (2016). Gratitude and prosocial behavior. Psychological Science, 17(4), 319–325.
- Chan, D. W. (2013). Subjective well-being of Hong Kong Chinese teachers: The contribution of gratitude, forgiveness, and the orientations to happiness. Teaching and Teacher Education, *32(1)*, 22–30.
- Cheng, S.-T., Tsui, P. K., & Lam, J. H. (2015). Improving mental health in health care practitioners: Randomized controlled trial of a gratitude intervention. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 83(1), 177–186.
- Diener, Ed, Marissa Diener, and Carol Diener. (2009). "Factors predicting the subjective well-being of nations." Culture and well-being. Springer, Dordrecht, 43-70.
- Diener, Ed, et al. "Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress." Psychological bulletin 125.2 (1999): 276
- Emmons, R. A., & Shelton, C. M. (2002). Gratitude and the science of positive psychology. Handbook of Positive Psychology, 18, 460.
- Emmons, R. A., & Mishra, A. (2011). Why gratitude enhances well-being: What we know, what we need to know. In K. M. Sheldon, T. D. Kashdan & M. F. Steger (Eds.), Designing positive psychology: Taking stock and moving forward (pp. 248-262). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2004). The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *Philosophical* Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 359(1449), 1367–1377.
- Harpman, E.J. (2004). Gratitude in the history of ideas. In R.A. Emmons & M.E. McCullough (Eds.), The psychology of gratitude (pp. 19–36). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hill, P. L., Allemand, M., & Roberts, B. W. (2013). Examining the pathways between gratitude and self-rated physical health across adulthood. Personality and Individual Differences, 54(1), 92-96.
- J., Yurkewicz, C., & Kashdan, T. B. (2009). Gratitude and subjective well-being in early adolescence: Examining gender differences. Journal of Adolescence, 32(3), 633–650.

- Klein, M. (1957). Envy and gratitude: A study of unconscious sources. New York: Basic Books.
- Kong, F., Ding, K., & Zhao, J. (2015a). The Relationships Among Gratitude, Self-esteem, Social Support and Life Satisfaction Among Undergraduate Students. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 16(2), 477–489.
- McCullough, M. E., Emmons, R. A., & Tsang, J. A. (2002). The grateful disposition: A conceptual and empirical topography. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(1), 112–127.
- O'Connell, B. H., O'Shea, D., & Gallagher, S. (2016). Mediating effects of loneliness on the gratitude health link. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 98, 179–183.
- Watkins, M. W., Woodward, K., Stone, T., &Kolts, R. L. (2003). Gratitude and happiness: Development of a measure of gratitude, and relationships with subjective well-being. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, *31*(5), 431–451.
- Williams, L. A., & Bartlett, M. Y. (2015). Warm thanks: Gratitude expression facilitates social affiliation in new relationships via perceived warmth. *Emotion*, 15(1), 1–5.
- Wood, A. M., Maltby, J., Gillett, R., Linley, P. A., & Joseph, S. (2008). The role of gratitude in the development of social support, stress, and depression: Two longitudinal studies. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 42(4), 854–871.
- 刘静.大学生感恩、非理性信念与主观幸福感的关系研究[D]. 哈尔滨工程大学, 2012
- KIEU THI KIM TUYEN.大学生感恩、心理弹性与主观幸福感的关系研究.2017.厦门大学,MA thesis
- 孙文刚, 汪金, 韩仁生.大学生感戴量表 (GRAT) 的修订[J].中国临床心理学杂志, 2010(1): 28-30.
- 严标宾,郑雪.中国内地、香港和美国大学生生活满意度比较[J].中国心理卫生杂志,2007(7).
- 尹霞云、黎志华.主观幸福感:稳定的人格特质还是情境状态[J].心理与行为研究,2015,13 (4):521-527.
- 喻承甫,张卫,曾毅茵,叶婷,李月明,王姝君.青少年感恩与问题行为的关系:学校联结的中介作用.心理发展与教育,(2011),27(4),425-433.

Appendix

Questionnaires on Gratitude and Subjective Well-being

AmongChinese University Postgraduates

Dear friends: Hello! Thank you very much for participating in this survey! This questionnaire is anonymous and has no distinction between right and wrong, good or bad answers. It is only for research purposes and we hope to provide answers that are as close to your own situation as possible. Before answering the question, please read the guide carefully. Don't think too much when answering the question, just choose directly.

4 87
1. Your gender [multiple choice question]*
omale
ofemale
2. Grade [Multiple Choice Question]*
○First year of postgraduate school
Second year of postgraduate school
oThird year of postgraduate school
3. Is it an only child? [Multiple choice question]*
∘Yes
∘No
4. Family Residence [Multiple Choice Question]*
○Rural area
○Urban area
Please fill out the first part of the "Subjective Wellness Scale" based on your actual situation. 1=very unhappy, 5=uncertain, 9=very happy, the numbers 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 represent the difference in happiness or unhappiness.

5. Overall subjective well-being [matrix scale question]*

153 IRA International Journal of Education and Multidisciplinary Studies, 19(2)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Please rate the level of happiness/unhappiness in your life so far.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Please evaluate your level of happiness/unhappiness last year.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Please rate the level of happiness/unhappiness you had yesterday.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Please rate the degree of pessimistic or unhappiness you feel now.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Please rate the degree of optimistic or happiness you feel now.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

6. Life satisfaction. 1=strongly opposed, 2=opposed, 3=somewhat opposed, 4=neither in favor nor against, 5=somewhat in favor, 6=in favor, 7=strongly in favor. [Matrix Scale Question]*

	Strongly	disagree	A bit disagree	neither agree nor disagree	A bit	agree	Strongly
My life is close to my ideals in most aspects.	0	0	0	Ο	0	0	0
My living conditions are very good.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

I am satisfied with my life.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
So far, I have obtained the important things I want in life.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
If I could live again, I wouldn't make any changes.	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ο

7. Words 1-9 indicate the frequency of these emotions you have felt in the past week (e.g. 1=no emotion at all, 5=half the time there is this emotion, 9=all the time there is this emotion. Trend of change: from nothing to something, from weak to strong.) [Matrix scale question]*

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
pleasant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
unpleasant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
happy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
excited	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
bad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
angry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
pride	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
gratitude	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

155 IRA International Journal of Education and Multidisciplinary Studies, 19(2)

love	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
guilty	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
shameful	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
worry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
stressful	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
jealous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Part 2 Gratitude Scale

8. Please choose the most suitable item based on your actual situation: 1->5 indicates complete non-compliance -->complete compliance [matrix scale question]*

	Strongly disagree	Very disagree	Partly agree	Very	Strongly
1.I feel that life is unfair to me.	0	0	0	0	0
2.It seems that others do benefit more from life than I do.	0	0	0	0	0
3.I seem to have never had the opportunity to think like others before.	0	0	0	0	0
4.Life is already beautiful enough for me.	0	0	0	0	0
5.It seems that there have always been "more monks than porridge", and I have	0	0	0	0	0

never received my share.					
6.It seems that people often try to hinder my progress.	0	0	0	0	0
7.I think there are many beautiful things in life that I deserve, but I haven't received them.	0	0	0	0	Ο
8.On my birthday, I receive neither as good nor as many gifts as others.	0	0	0	0	Ο
9.I believe I am a very lucky person.	0	0	0	0	0
10.Due to some experiences in my life, I really feel that the world owes me something.	0	0	0	0	Ο
11.I feel that the bad things I have encountered in life have exceeded what I should bear.	0	0	0	0	0
12.Although I believe that I am more virtuous than most people, I have not received the rewards I deserve in life.	Ο	0	0	0	0
13.I feel that life has left me flawless.	0	0	0	0	0
14.I feel that heaven, honor,	0	0	0	0	0

157 IRA International Journal of Education and Multidisciplinary Studies, 19(2)

and fate will not favor me.					
15. For some reasons, I never seem to have the advantages that others have.	0	0	0	0	0
16.If it weren't for the help of many people, I wouldn't have achieved what I am today.	0	0	0	0	0
17.I often think, 'What a great privilege to be alive'.	0	0	0	0	0
18.In life, many people have given me valuable wisdom, which is crucial for my success.	Ο	0	Ο	0	0
19.Although I am basically able to control my life, I can't help but think of those who have always supported and helped me along my path.	Ο	Ο	Ο	Ο	0
20.I sincerely thank my parents for their kindness in raising me.	0	0	0	0	0
21. Sometimes I think, Why am I so lucky to be born in the family and culture I am currently in?.	Ο	Ο	Ο	0	0
22.I think it is very important	0	0	0	0	0

to stop often and list the blessings you have received.					
23.In life, I deeply appreciate everything others have done for me.	0	0	0	0	0
24.The simple happiness in life is the greatest happiness.	0	0	0	0	0
25.I think it's important to be grateful for every day of being alive.	0	0	0	0	0
26.I am really grateful to my friends and family.	0	0	0	0	0
27.I often marvel at the beauty of the sunset.	0	0	0	0	0
28.I am often impressed by the beauty of nature.	0	0	0	0	O
29.I truly enjoy some beautiful things, partly because I am grateful for them.	Ο	0	Ο	0	0
30.I think it is necessary to stop and smell the fragrance of flowers.	0	0	0	0	0
31.I like to sit and watch snowflakes fall.	0	0	0	0	0
32.Every spring, I really	0	0	0	0	0

159 IRA International Journal of Education and Multidisciplinary Studies, 19(2)

enjoy watching flowers bloom.					
33.I believe that enjoying every bit of life is very important.	0	0	0	0	0
34.I like the lush spring scenery.	0	0	0	0	0

This is the end of this questionnaire. Thank you very much for your participation.